

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CUIVILIANCE. Arizona Corporation Commission 2 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL DOCKETED **CHAIRMAN** 3 JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER AUG 2 3 2002 4 MARC SPITZER COMMISSIONER DOCKETED BY 5 DOCKET NO. T-04014A-01-0340 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF KMC DATA, L.L.C. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF 65125 7 CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE DECISION NO. FACILITIES-BASED AND RESOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE ACCESS, AND RESOLD OPINION AND ORDER INTEREXCHANGE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE IN ARIZONA AND FOR COMPETITIVE CLASSIFICATION OF ITS 10 SERVICES. 11 July 17, 2002 DATE OF HEARING: 12 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 13 Philip J. Dion III ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 14 APPEARANCES: Mike Patten, ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF, PLC, on behalf of KMC Data, L.L.C. 15 Maureen A. Scott, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on 16 behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission. 17 BY THE COMMISSION: 18 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 19 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 20 FINDINGS OF FACT 21 On April 16, 2001, KMC Data, L.L.C. ("KMC" or "Applicant") filed with the 1. 22 Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide 23 competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange, and resold interexchange telecommunications 24 services statewide. 25 On October 30, 2001, Applicant docketed a Notice of Filing of Affidavits of 2. 26 Publication that comply with Commission rules. 27 KMC Telecom Holdings, Inc. is a Delaware "C" corporation, authorized to do

3.

business in Arizona. KMC is a wholly owned subsidiary of KMC Data Holdco, L.L.C. KMC Data Holdco, L.L.C is wholly owned by KMC Telecom Holdings, Inc. Therefore, KMC's ultimate parent is KMC Telecom Holdings, Inc.

- 4. On March 29, 2002, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed its Staff Report, which recommended approval of the application and included a number of additional recommendations.
- 5. On May 7, 2002, a Procedural Order was issued setting this matter for hearing on July 17, 2002 and setting various procedural deadlines.
- 6. On July 17, 2002, a full public hearing in this matter was held as scheduled. Applicant appeared telephonically and was represented by counsel. Staff appeared and was represented by counsel. The hearing was conducted before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge. Evidence was presented and testimony was taken. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Administrative Law Judge took the matter under advisement and informed the parties that a Recommended Opinion and Order would be prepared for the Commissioners' consideration.
- 7. Applicant has the technical capability to provide the services that are proposed in its application.
- 8. Currently there are several incumbent providers of local exchange and interexchange services in the service territory requested by Applicant, and numerous other entities have been authorized to provide competitive local and interexchange services in all or portions of that territory.
 - 9. It is appropriate to classify all of Applicant's authorized services as competitive.
- 10. The Staff Report stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.
- 11. According to Staff, KMC submitted the unaudited financial statement of its parent, KMC Telecom Holdings, Inc. for the year ending December 31, 2000. These financial statements list assets of \$1.3 billion, negative equity of \$284.3 million, and a net loss of \$454.1 million.
 - 12. The Application states that KMC collects advances and deposits from its customers.
- 13. Staff recommends that KMC's application for a Certificate to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange and resold interexchange telecommunications services be

		·		
1	granted subject to the following conditions:			
2	(a)	that, unless it provides services solely through the use of its own facilities, KMC be ordered to procure an Interconnection Agreement, within 365 days of		
3		the effective date of the Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, that must remain in effect until further order of the Commission, before being allowed to offer local exchange service;		
5	(b)	that KMC be ordered to file with the Commission, within 365 days of the		
6		effective date of the Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, its plan to have its customers' telephone		
7		numbers included in the incumbent's Directories and Directory Assistance databases;		
8	(c)	that KMC be ordered to pursue permanent number portability arrangements with other LECs pursuant to Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules;		
9	(d)	that KMC be ordered to abide by and participate in the AUSF mechanism instituted in Decision No. 59623, dated April 24, 1996 (Docket No. RT-T-		
11	•	03905A-00-0513E-95-0498);		
12	(e)	that KMC be ordered to abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for USWC in Docket No. T-0151B-93-0183;		
13	(f)	that in areas where it is the sole provider of local exchange service facilities, KMC be ordered to provide customers with access to alternative providers of		
14		service pursuant to the provisions of Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules;		
15 16	(g)	that KMC be ordered to certify, through the 911 service provider in the area in which it intends to provide service, that all issues associated with the provision		
17		of 911 service have been resolved with the emergency service providers within 365 days of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service whichever comes first, which certification must remain in effect until further		
18		Order of the Commission;		
19	(h)	that KMC be ordered to abide by all the Commission decisions and policies regarding CLASS services;		
20	(i)	that KMC be ordered to provide 2-PIC equal access;		
21	(j)	that KMC be required to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to		
22	9)	its address or telephone number;		
23 24	(k)	that KMC be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications		
		service;		
25	(1)	that KMC be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;		
26	(m)	that KMC be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and othe		
27		reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times a the Commission may designate;		
28				

65125 DECISION NO. _____

	16.	In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant
it has	determi	ned that KMC's fair value rate base is zero!, and is too small to be useful in setting
rates.	Staff fu	rther stated that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate or
return	regulati	on, but are heavily influenced by the market. Staff recommended that the Commission
not se	t rates fo	or KMC based on the fair value of its rate base.

- 17. The rates to be ultimately charged by KMC will be heavily influenced by the market. Because of the nature of the competitive market and other factors, a fair value analysis is not necessarily representative of the company's operations.
- 18. Staff stated that KMC lacks the market power to adversely affect the telecommunications market by either restricting output or raising prices. Also, Staff has recommended that KMC's services be classified as competitive and thus subject to the flexible pricing authority allowed by the Commission's Competitive Telecommunications Services rules. Staff believes that these two factors, lack of market power and the competitive marketplace for the services KMC proposes to offer, support the conclusion that a fair value analysis is not necessarily representative of the company's operations, and that the rates charged by KMC will be reasonable.
 - 19. Staff's recommendations, as set forth herein, are reasonable.
 - 20. KMC's fair value rate base is determined to be zero for purposes of this proceeding.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. § 40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a Certificate to provide competitive telecommunications services.

At the hearing, Randy Meecham, a manager of State and Government Affairs for KMC, explained that currently the particular business unit of KMC that would conduct business pursuant to the Certificate, if granted, is not currently operational as KMC has experienced delays in product roll-out and due to capital constraints that the industry is experiencing.

- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest for Applicant to provide the telecommunications services set forth in its application.
- 6. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate authorizing it to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange and resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona as conditioned by Staff's recommendations.
- 7. The telecommunications services that the Applicant intends to provide are competitive within Arizona.
- 8. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for Applicant to establish rates and charges that are not less than the Applicant's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein.
 - 9. Staff's recommendations, as set forth herein, are reasonable and should be adopted.
- 10. KMC's competitive rates, as set forth in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and should be approved.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of KMC Data, L.L.C. for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange, and resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona shall be, and is hereby, granted, conditioned upon KMC Data, L.L.C.'s timely compliance with the following three Ordering Paragraphs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that KMC Data, L.L.C. shall file conforming tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 365 days of this Decision or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that KMC Data, L.L.C. shall procure a performance bond equal to \$135,000 the earlier of 365 days from the effective date of this Order or 30 days prior to the commencement of service. The minimum bond amount of \$135,000 shall be increased if, at any time, it would be insufficient to cover prepayments or deposits collected from the Applicant's

1						
l	customers. The bond amount shall be increased in increments of \$67,500. This increase shall occur					
2	when the total amount of the advances, deposits, and prepayments is within \$13,500 of the bond					
3	amount.					
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that KMC Data, L.L.C. shall comply with all of the Staf					
5	recommendations set forth in the above-stated Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.					
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if KMC Data, L.L.C. fails to meet the timeframes outline					
7	in the Ordering Paragraphs above, that the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity conditionally					
8	granted herein shall become null and void without further Order of the Commission.					
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if KMC Data, L.L.C. fails to notify each of its customers					
10	and the Commission at least 60 days prior to filing an application to discontinue service pursuant to					
11	A.A.C. R14-2-1107, that in addition to voidance of its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity					
12	KMC Data, L.L.C.'s performance bond shall be forfeited.					
13	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.					
14	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION/COMMISSION.					
15	Will all my month of the					
16	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER					
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive					
18	Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the					
19	Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix this 23ed day of August, 2002.					
20	Mathe Sur Josem					
21	BRIAN C. MCNEIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY					
22	DISSENTPJD:mlj					
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						

. 1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	KMC DATA, L.L.C.
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-04014A-01-0340
3		
4	Mike Duke Director of Government Affairs	
5	KMC TELECOM HOLDINGS, INC.	
6	1755 North Brown Road Lawrenceville, Georgia 30043	
7	KMC DATA, L.L.C.	
8	1545 Route 206, Suite 300 Bedminster, New Jersey 07921-2567	
9	Michael Patten	
10	ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF, PLC	
11	Two Arizona Center 400 North 5th Street, Ste. 1000	
12	Phoenix, AZ 85004-3906 Attorneys for KMC Data L.L.C.	
13	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel	
14	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSI	ION
15	Hanna TTT 1 Line Ctmont	,
16	Ernest Johnson, Director	
17	# + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	ION
18	1000 XXI + XXI1-in orton Street	
19		
20		
2		
22	2	
2		
2		
4-	·	